529. The Colour Isomerism and Structure of Some Copper Co-ordination Compounds. Part III.¹ The Structure of NN'-Disalicylidenepropane-1,2-diaminecopper Monohydrate.

By F. J. LLEWELLYN and T. N. WATERS.

A two-dimensional X-ray analysis of NN'-disalicylidenepropane-1,2diaminecopper monohydrate has shown that the copper atom is quinquecovalent. The ligands are arranged in pyramidal configuration with four " normal " planar bonds and a fifth copper-water bond, of length 2.53 Å, perpendicular to this plane.

The quinquecovalency is in accord with previous observations on the colour and spectra of a number of similar copper complexes.

A RECENT investigation ¹ of some salicylaldehyde and 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde complexes of copper indicated that the metal had a co-ordination number greater than four in the green compounds. An X-ray examination of the green, NN'-disalicylidenepropane-1,2-diamine copper monohydrate has supported this observation. This compound was chosen for study as likely to throw light on the connection between colour and co-ordination number because the presence of a water molecule and the difference in colour from the violet anhydrous complex suggested a copper covalency of five or six (cf. tetramminecupric sulphate monohydrate²).

EXPERIMENTAL

The preparation and characterisation of the complex have been described.¹ The crystals employed were dark green needles elongated along the [a] axis and showing well developed {001} and {011} faces. The crystal data were: $C_{17}H_{18}O_3N_2Cu$, M = 361.5, orthorhombic, $a = 5.15 \pm 0.02$, $b = 13.22 \pm 0.05$, $c = 22.66 \pm 0.08$ Å, U = 1543 Å³, $D_{\rm m} = 1.51$ g./c.c. by flotation, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.556$ g./c.c., F(000) = 748. Space group, $P2_12_12_1$ (D_2^4 , No. 19). Cu- K_{α} radiation, single-crystal rotation, and oscillation photographs. Intensities were recorded for the *h0l* and *0kl* zones by using the multiple-film technique, the relative intensities being measured by visual comparison with a standard scale and converted into structure amplitudes. Small sections of crystal were used and no absorption corrections were made.

As all the atoms are in general positions the copper co-ordinates were determined from twodimensional Patterson functions sharpened with a factor similar to that applied by Donohue and Trueblood.³ The (100) and (010) projections were then analysed by application of the heavy-atom method. As an aid, a model with accepted ideas of bond lengths and angles was used. This model is shown in Fig. 1 (in which the bond lengths determined are given), but the position of the water molecule, O(3), was not known in the initial stages. The structure-factor machine designed by Llewellyn 4 was used and the syntheses were computed at 6° intervals with Robertson's stencils.⁵ All the two-dimensional co-ordinates were referred to a screw

- ¹ Part I, J., 1959, 1200; Part II, J., 1959, 1203.
- ² Mazzi, Acta Cryst., 1955, 8, 137.
- ³ Donohue and Trueblood, Acta Cryst., 1952, 5, 414.
- ⁴ Llewellyn, J. Sci. Instr., 1951, 28, 229.
 ⁵ Robertson, J. Sci. Instr., 1948, 25, 28.

axis as the effective centre of symmetry. Extensive use was made of difference syntheses at an early stage and before all the atomic positions were known. These syntheses usually gave a



clear indication of the positions of atoms not yet found and almost always showed where atoms had been incorrectly placed. At the same time an improvement in the known atomic parameters and the temperature factors was brought about. Although the aim, as in the refinement



of a structure, was to produce zero electron density everywhere in the cell, this was not done in detail at this stage. The difference map was altered by adding or withdrawing atoms at points of large positive or negative density and by making large adjustments in the known atomic co-ordinates. A particular value of this approach was that it incorporated planes whose observed structure amplitudes were zero and which would not otherwise have been used to give useful information in the initial stages. Eventually the signs of nearly all the observed reflections were found. The atomic scattering factors due to Berghius *et al.*⁶ were used for the light atoms and Thomas-Fermi ⁷ values for copper, with initial temperature factors of B = 3 Å² and 1 Å², respectively.

After the observed amplitudes had been scaled to the absolute value by applying Wilson's ⁸ method between cycles, an average temperature factor of $B = 2 \cdot 8$ Å², calculated by the least-squares method for well-resolved carbon atoms, was applied to all the light atoms. A value of $B = 1 \cdot 4$ Å² was similarly found by the least-squares method for the copper atom.

Refinement of the projection was continued by successive difference cycles until the reliability factor of the observed planes was 0.16 (omitting the 020 reflection which appeared to have suffered extinction). No attempt was made to introduce individual or anisotropic temperature factors. The electron density map finally resulting is shown in Fig. 3.

The electron density in the (010) projection was determined in a similar manner by using the z co-ordinates found in the early stages. Here again difference syntheses were used before



all the atomic positions were known. They proved particularly valuable in placing atoms in the region near the copper atom where considerable overlapping occurs (Fig. 4). With the initial aid of the previous z co-ordinates it was possible to locate all the atomic positions in this projection without use of a model. Several difference cycles were then computed with the atomic-scattering curves and temperature factors given above. The scaling factor was obtained by comparing the 00*l* structure amplitudes with those of the (100) projection. The reliability factor of the observed planes was brought to 0.18. Again individual or anisotropic

TABLE 1.

Atom	x	У	z	Atom	x	у	2
Cu	0.178	0.039	0.044	C(7)	-0.504	-0.021	-0.182
O(1)	0.008	0.122	0.103	C(8)	-0.334	0.058	-0.163
O(2)	-0.048	0.083	-0.016	C(9)	-0.276	0.089	-0.106
O(3)	0.525	0.173	0.034	C(10)	-0.089	0.043	-0.068
N(1)	0.349	-0.026	0.101	C(11)	0.090	0.143	0.153
N(2)	0.318	-0.069	-0.002	C(12)	-0.012	0.227	0.186
C(1)	0.290	-0.513	0.097	C(13)	0.073	0.250	0.239
C(2)	0.477	-0.122	0.088	C(14)	0.278	0.207	0.264
C(3)	0.548	0.120	0.019	C(15)	0.359	0.122	0.233
C(4)	0.252	-0.087	-0.058	C(16)	0.296	0.089	0.179
C(5)	0.046	-0.042	-0.090	C(17)	0.411	0.002	0.154
C(6)	-0.033	-0.069	-0.146				

temperature factors were not employed. The resulting electron density map is shown in Fig. 4. The (001) projection was not analysed because poor resolution was expected. Observed structure amplitudes and calculated structure factors for the two analysed projections are listed in Table 2.

• Berghius, Haanappel, Potters, Loopstra, MacGillavry, and Veenendaal, Acta Cryst., 1955, 8, 478.

⁷ Thomas and Fermi: as compiled in Internationale Tabellen, Vol. II, 1935, 572.

⁸ Wilson, Nature, 1942, **150**, 152.

TABLE 2.	Observed	structure	amplitudes	and o	calculated	structure	factors	for the	e Okl a	nd i	h0l
zones.	(×10.)	The ato:	mic [¯] co-ordin	nates	have been	referred i	to an ef	fective	centre _	for .	the
сотри	tations.										
				0.61	80M 0						

Plane 000 2 4 6 8 10 114 16 8 20 22 011 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 114 15 14 15 6 7 8 9 10 114 15 14 15 15 114 15 15 114 15 15 114 15 15 114 15 114 15 15 114 15 15 114 15 15 111 122 34 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 34 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 34 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 123 34 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 123 144 55 6 6 7 8 9 9 1020 122 344 55 6 6 7 8 9 9 1020 111 123 145 166 17 188 120 212 223 445 55 6 6 7 8 9 9 1020 211 223 445 55 6 6 7 8 9 9 202 212 223 445 56 6 6 7 8 9 9 202 212 223 2344 5 5 6 6 6 7 8 9 2031 223 234 5 5 6 6 7 8 100 211 223 234 5 5 100 100 211 223 2534 5 100 211 225 100 211 225 100 211 225 100 211 225 100 211 225 100 211 225 100 100 100 100 100 100 211 255 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Plane 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 040 1 2 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 22 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 20 21 22 22 040 11 12 22 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 20 21 22 22 22 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 20 21 22 22 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 20 21 22 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 23 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 23 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 20 21 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 20 21 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Planc 18 19 20 21 091 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 0,10,0 11 22 0,10,0 0,11,1 12 13 14 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 0,10,0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0,10,0 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 0,10,0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0,10,0 11 12 22 0,10,0 0,10,0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0,10,0 11 12 22 0,10,0 0,10,11 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0,10,0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0,10,0 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0,10,0 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 9 10 0 0,11,11 12 13 14 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 0 11 12 12 13 14 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 0 11 11 12 13 14 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 16 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} F_{calc.} & 69 \\ 234 \\ -69 \\ -218 \\ 340 \\ -286 \\ 102 \\ 189 \\ -383 \\ -362 \\ 398 \\ -277 \\ -18 \\ 316 \\ -362 \\ -277 \\ -178 \\ -318 \\ -277 \\ -178 \\ -318 \\ -207 \\ -273 \\ -277 \\ -18 \\ -370 \\ -168 \\ -207 \\ -168 \\ -207 \\ -168 \\ -207 \\ -178 \\ -382 \\ -44 \\ -370 \\ -102 \\ -382 \\ -44 \\ -56 \\ -382 \\ -229 \\ 44 \\ -157 \\ -170 \\ -352 \\ 229 \\ -417 \\ -74 \\ -382 \\ -168 \\ -26 \\ -382 \\ -229 \\ -44 \\ -57 \\ -170 \\ -352 \\ -24 \\ -38 \\ -160 \\ -26 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -28$	Plane 16 17 18 19 20 21 0,12,0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 8 9 10 0,13,1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0 11 2 13 14 15 15 6 6 7 8 9 10 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 16 17 18 19 20 0 0 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 20 0 0,13,1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 7 8 9 10 0 0 13,1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 0 13,1 2 16 17 18 19 20 0 0 0 13,1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 0 0 13,1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 0 0 13,1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 13,1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 14,0 17 17 18 18 19 19 0 0 0 11 12 13 14 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 11 1 2 15 16 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 11 1 2 13 14 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 11 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 0 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} F_{obs.} [\\ 177\\ 1776\\ 833\\ 133\\ 72\\ 426\\ <532\\ 204\\ <199\\ 238\\ 1199\\ 238\\ <106\\ <833\\ 1199\\ 238\\ <106\\ <839\\ 94\\ 119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <119\\ 287\\ <106\\ <995\\ <833\\ <133\\ <59\\ 238\\ <106\\ 155\\ 105\\ 155\\ 105\\ 155\\ 105\\ 155\\ 105\\ 177\\ 94\\ 166\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} F_{calc.} \\ -174 \\ 267 \\ 94 \\ -200 \\ -16 \\ -55 \\ -94 \\ 3176 \\ -27 \\ -298 \\ 235 \\ -179 \\ -112 \\ 221 \\ 221 \\ 221 \\ 221 \\ -243 \\ -151 \\ 338 \\ -153 \\ -35 \\ 324 \\ -35 \\ -329 \\ -472 \\ -151 \\ 338 \\ -35 \\ -324 \\ -472 \\ -153 \\ 201 \\ -288 \\ -18 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -188 \\ -223 \\ -22$
Plane 101 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Plane 20 21 22 23 200 1 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 1 & F_{\rm obs.} & F_{\rm calc.} \\ 181 & 75 \\ 432 & 490 \\ <131 & -16 \\ 303 & 382 \\ 233 & 73 \\ 210 & 103 \\ 105 & 5 \\ 496 & -404 \\ 251 & 216 \\ 840 & -802 \\ 146 & -150 \\ 915 & -906 \\ 367 & -382 \\ 618 & -622 \\ 397 & -337 \\ 181 & -107 \\ 432 & -314 \\ 420 & 321 \\ \end{array}$	Plane 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 301 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Plane 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 400 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	$\begin{array}{c} \ F_{\rm obs.} \\ 303 \\ 303 \\ 303 \\ 181 \\ 286 \\ 181 \\ 432 \\ 432 \\ 4118 \\ 4118 \\ 4118 \\ 379 \\ 4118 \\ 379 \\ 4118 \\ 356 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 292 \\ 292 \\ 4131 \\ 4131 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} F_{\rm calc.} \\ 204 \\ -231 \\ 139 \\ 189 \\ 189 \\ 166 \\ 21 \\ 403 \\ 165 \\ 91 \\ 47 \\ -300 \\ -300 \\ -303 \\ -28 \\ -427 \\ 14 \\ -38 \\ 239 \end{array}$	Plane 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 501 2 3 4 5 6	$F_{obs.} \\ <131 \\ <131 \\ 257 \\ <131 \\ 274 \\ <118 \\ 262 \\ <262 \\ <118 \\ 233 \\ 315 \\ 257 \\ 286 \\ 262 \\ 181 \\ 163 \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} F_{\rm calc.} & -19 \\ -19 \\ 44 \\ 286 \\ -93 \\ 327 \\ 35 \\ 294 \\ -71 \\ 310 \\ -334 \\ -168 \\ -276 \\ -307 \\ -195 \\ -257 \end{array}$

The final atomic co-ordinates, referred to an origin midway between the three non-intersecting screw axes, are set out in Table 1. Where there was disagreement between the zco-ordinates in the two projections, the value for the (100) projection was taken as correct because of the better resolution available. The greatest discrepancies were 0.005 for C(4) and 0.004 for C(12) and C(16) (expressed in fractional co-ordinates).

The numbering of the atoms is shown in Fig. 1.

It is obvious that a two-dimensional analysis of this molecule which is poorly resolved in one projection will not give accurate atomic positions. As an indication of the errors involved, the standard deviations of a few resolved atoms were obtained by Cruickshank's method,⁹ but these somewhat over-estimate the accuracy of the analysis. The R.M.S. deviations are:

Atomic co-ordinates $\sigma_r = 0.029$ Å for light atoms and = 0.0049 Å for the copper atom.

Bond lengths $\sigma_d = 0.041$ Å for light atom-light atom bonds, and 0.029 Å for light atom-copper atom bonds.

Bond angles $\sigma_{\theta} = 1.7^{\circ}$ for light atom-copper-light atom angles and 2.3° for other angles.

The measured bond lengths and angles are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. Application of the test for significant differences in bond lengths and angles ⁹ shows that the calculated deviations are a reasonable guide.

DISCUSSION

The ligands are arranged about the quinquecovalent copper atom in a pyramidal configuration. The length of the copper-water bond, 2.53 Å, suggests that it is appreciably covalent and this is reflected in the fact that the copper atom is 0.2 Å above the plane through the co-ordinating atoms O(1), O(2), N(1), N(2) (themselves co-planar to within 0.03 Å) in the direction of the water molecule. The other copper-ligand bonds are of normal length so that, in accord with the Jahn–Teller effect,¹⁰ the fifth out-of-plane bond is longer than the four planar ones. The copper-ethylenedi-imine ring system is nonplanar. The carbon atom C(4) is -0.2 Å from the plane through N(1), Cu, and N(2), and C(3) is +0.4 Å from this plane. Distances measured away from the origin, not itself in the plane, are positive. The ethylenedi-imine bridge is thus in the gauche form already observed in co-ordination compounds.^{11,12} Buckling of the bridge may also occur to avoid a too close approach of the methyl carbon atom C(1) to a neighbouring water molecule, see Fig. 5. Both benzene rings are planar within 0.1 Å but the whole molecule does not lie in one plane. This condition may arise from the distorting effect of the gauche N-C-C-N bridge which tends to prevent the nitrogen atoms being co-planar with the molecule, and to the methyl group which, projecting from the molecule plane, prevents efficient packing.

This structure is in accord with the previous suggestion ¹ that green compounds of this type should exhibit a co-ordination number greater than four. Five co-ordination is unusual for copper but not unknown.¹³ It is probably accounted for here by the constraint exercised on the packing of the molecules by the methyl groups. Small voids thereby left in the structure facilitate the attachment of a solvent molecule at one of the octahedral co-ordinating positions of the metal atom.

CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND.

[PRESENT ADDRESSES.—(F. J. L.) UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY, CHRISTCHURCH, NEW ZEALAND. (T. N. W.) CHEMISTRY DIVISION, A.E.R.E., HARWELL,

BERKS, ENGLAND.]

[Received, November 2nd, 1959.]

⁹ Cruickshank, Acta Cryst., 1949, 2, 65.

¹⁰ Jahn and Teller, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1937, A, 161, 220.

- ¹¹ Scouloudi, Acta Cryst., 1953, 6, 651.
- ¹² Nakahara, Saito, and Kuroya, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1952, 25, 331.
- ¹³ Corbridge and Cox, J., 1956, 594.